

Course Evaluation (V)

Fall-/Winter Semester 2009

Instructor:	Frau Dr. Vartuhi Tonoyan, Herr Professor Dr. Michael Woywode		
Course:	MAN 630 - Introduction to Entrepreneurship 12:00 - 13:30		
internal number:	356a	number of questionnaires:	38

1. Course of study

Diplom:	BWL	BWL (iQ)	VWL	Wifo	Wi.-Päd.	Philology	Magister	other
	7	0	1	3	0	0	0	1
	37%	0%	5%	16%	0%	0%	0%	5%
Bachelor:	BWL	VWL	Wifo	Wi.-Päd.	BaKuWi	Unt.-Jur.	other	
	4	0	2	0	0	0	1	
	21%	0%	11%	0%	0%	0%	5%	

2. Semester

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	>12
	21	0	0	1	0	0	6	0	3	0	0	0	0
	68%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	19%	0%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%

3. Regular attendance of the course (absent two times or less)

mention	regularly	not regularly
35	34 97%	1 3%

4. Exchange student

yes
8 21%

mention	mean	standard deviation
---------	------	--------------------

strongly agree	agree somewhat			strongly disagree
1	2	3	4	5

Course Evaluation


	mention	mean	standard deviation	1	2	3	4	5
5. Course objectives were clearly stated.	38	1,55	0,78	61%	26%	11%	3%	0%
6. Course requirements and criteria for grading were clearly explained.	38	1,76	0,87	47%	34%	13%	5%	0%
7. The course was well structured.	38	1,53	0,72	58%	34%	5%	3%	0%
8. Choice of topics was explained by the instructor.	37	1,81	0,77	38%	46%	14%	3%	0%
9. This choice appeared well-founded to me.	38	1,95	0,92	37%	39%	16%	8%	0%
10. Dissemination of subject matter was appropriately paced over the semester.	36	1,83	0,90	44%	33%	17%	6%	0%

strongly agree	agree somewhat		strongly disagree	
1	2	3	4	5

	mention	mean	standard deviation					
11. Course content was presented in a comprehensible manner.	38	1,42	0,63	66%	26%	8%	0%	0%
12. Instructor illustrated subject matter with examples from the business world and from current research.	38	1,37	0,53	66%	32%	3%	0%	0%
13. Where appropriate instructor drew parallels to business-related disciplines.	37	1,89	0,92	43%	30%	22%	5%	0%
14. Instructor incorporated audio-visual media effectively (e.g., blackboard, overhead projector, video, beamer).	38	1,95	1,00	39%	37%	16%	5%	3%
15. Transparencies, materials written on the blackboard, or beamed materials were easy to read and follow.	38	1,55	0,75	58%	32%	8%	3%	0%
16. Instructor provided the opportunity for questions regarding content.	37	1,24	0,54	81%	14%	5%	0%	0%
17. Answers given by the instructor were helpful in clarifying uncertainties.	36	1,56	0,80	61%	25%	11%	3%	0%
18. Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were useful in facilitating understanding of course content.	35	2,11	0,95	29%	40%	26%	3%	3%
19. Recommended reading materials (e.g., lecture notes, literature) were readily available.	37	2,08	1,15	41%	27%	22%	5%	5%
20. Instructor's manner of speaking was clear and audible.	38	1,45	0,64	63%	29%	8%	0%	0%
21. Instructor spoke at an appropriate speed.	38	1,42	0,59	63%	32%	5%	0%	0%
22. Instructor's lecturing style sustained my attention.	38	1,50	0,75	63%	26%	8%	3%	0%
23. Instructor was open to subject-oriented issues.	36	1,44	0,68	67%	22%	11%	0%	0%


strongly agree	agree somewhat		strongly disagree	
1	2	3	4	5

	mention	mean	standard deviation
24. Instructor was open and friendly towards students.	38	1,16	0,43
25. Instructor was able to arouse my interest in the subject.	37	1,57	0,72



Evaluation of general satisfaction

26. Overall, I am satisfied with the course.	37	1,59	0,63
---	----	-------------	------



Evaluation of teaching/learning environment

27. Prior knowledge and experience helped me master the course content.	34	2,09	1,22
28. Technical equipment (overhead projector, beamer, blackboard, microphone, etc.) was always ready for use and functioned properly.	37	1,59	0,79
29. Size of the room was adequate for the course.	37	1,65	0,94
30. The room setup (seating, tables, lighting, ventilation, etc.) was satisfactory.	37	1,49	0,72

